Lecture 10: Videos



June 6st, 2021

Tali Dekel









Videos

Videos are all around us Span an enormous space of spatial and temporal signals











Challenges in Videos: size of video



Size of video >> size of image

Computational constrains \rightarrow short, low-res clips

 $3 \times H \times W$





~30 frames per second (fps)

Uncompressed size (3 bytes per pixel): SD (640 x 480): **~1.5 GB per minute** HD (1920 x 1080): **~10 GB per minute**

Reduce spatial and temporal resolution



5fps, half the spatial resolution



Challenges in Videos: size of video

DL4CV Weizmann

WAIC

Computational constrains \rightarrow short, low-res clips



Challenges in Videos: Videos Datasets

space of video >> space of image \rightarrow lots of training data

"ImageNet"-equivalent dataset for videos?

Massive human labelling efforts



UCF101 YouTube videos 13320 videos, 101 action categories

Kinetics

Kinetics

YouTube videos 650,000 video clips, 600 human action classes



YouTube-8M

8M video clips, Machine-generated annotations from 3,862 classes



Sports-1M YouTube videos 1,133,157 videos, 487 sports labels





Deep Learning-based Models for Videos

- How to reduce computation cost without sacrificing accuracy?
- What architecture to best capture temporal patterns? *Karpathy et. al., Large-scale Video Classification with Convolutional Neural Networks, CVPR, 2014*

Self-Supervision in Videos

- Which types of pretext tasks can we define to capture temporal information?
- Applications



Models for Videos: Single-Frame Baseline

• Train 2D CNN to classify video frames independently



Input video frame



Models for Videos: Single-Frame Baseline

- Train 2D CNN to classify video frames independently
- Average predicted probs at test-time



Input video frames



Models for Videos: Late Fusion

• Learn features for each frame using a 2D CNN, concatenate feature, and fuse



Input video frames



Models for Videos: Late Fusion w/ pooling

Learn features for each frame, apply spatial-temporal average pool, and then fuse



Input video frames



Models for Videos: Late Fusion w/ pooling

Learn features for each frame, apply spatial-temporal average pool, and then fuse

Pros: allow the network to learn global motion characteristics by comparing outputs of both towers

Cons: late fusion is late... hard to represent low level motion between frames



Input video frames





- Combines temporal information immediately on the pixel level
- Treat time as another "channel" dimension



Input video frames



Extending the filters in the first Conv Layer to: T x 3 x H x W kernel



Input: T x 3 x H x W

Weights: C x T x 3 x h x w

Output: C x H' x W'



Extending the filters in the first Conv Layer to: T x 3 x H x W kernel

• Not temporal shift invariance; specific filter is learned to each time step



Input: T x 3 x H x W

Weights: C x T x 3 x h x w

Output: C x H' x W'



Extending the filters in the first Conv Layer to: T x 3 x H x W kernel

• Not temporal shift invariance; specific filter is learned to each time step



Input: T x 3 x H x W

Weights: C x T x 3 x h x w

Output: C x H' x W'

Pros: Allow the network to learn local motion characteristics

Cons:



Input video frames



Models for Videos: Slow Fusion a.k.a 3D Convs

• Extend 2D Convs and pooling to 3D to slowly fuse temporal information throughout the model



Input video frames



Models for Videos: Slow Fusion a.k.a 3D Convs

- Extend 2D Convs and pooling to 3D to slowly fuse temporal information throughout the model
- Slide the kernels in both space and time



Input: T x 3 x H x W

Weights: C x t x 3 x h x w

Output: C x T' x H' x W'



Models for Videos: Slow Fusion a.k.a 3D Convs

- Extend 2D Convs and pooling to 3D to slowly fuse temporal information throughout the model
- Slide the kernels in both space and time







First layer filters 3(rgb) x 4 (t) x 5 (h) x 5 (w)



Weights: C x t x 3 x h x w

DL4CV Weizmann

Models for Videos: Multi-scale

How can we reduce computational cost while maintaining accuracy? Reduce video resolution \rightarrow lower performance Reduce network's capacity \rightarrow lower performance



- Context stream (low res): process low res video frames (H/2, W/2)
- Fovea sterm (high res): process a (H/2, W/2) crop from the original resolution

Reduce the input dimentionalty by half



Action classification -- Sports-1M

types of sports

DL4CV Weizmann



- Fine grained labels for 487 different
- Correct prediction
 - Incorrect prediction



Action classification -- Sports-1M

Sports-1M Top-5 Accuracy



DL4CV Weizmann

M Karpathy et. al., Large-scale Video Classification with Convolutional Neural Networks, CVPR, 2014 Slide credit: Justin Johnson, <u>EECS 498-007</u>

Models for Videos: C3D (Convolutional 3D)

- 3D CNN that uses all 3x3x3 Convs and 2x2x2 poolings
- The "VGG" of 3D CNNs
- Transfer learning: extract learned video features, train a simple linear classifier for various tasks



Problem: 3D convs are VERY expensive!
 C3D on small inputs takes 3x VGG and 56x AlexNet FLOPs





Non-deep learning video classification

Motion is the most informative cue for action recognition \rightarrow design hand crafted motion features:



Aggregate local motion features to compute a global representation of the video \rightarrow linear SVM for action recognition

MODEL MOTION EXPLICITLY

Wang et. al., Dense trajectories and motion boundary descriptors for action recognition, 2013

团 DL4CV Weizmann

Peng et. al., Bag of Visual Words and Fusion Methods for Action Recognition: Comprehensive Study and Good Practice, 2014

Non-deep learning video classification

Motion is the most informative cue for action recognition \rightarrow hand crafted motion features:





Explicitly modeling motion in deep-based models

Optical flow: For each pixel in frame t, determines its corresponding pixel in frame t+1



Frame t+1





Optical flow provides local motion cues





Color wheel Saturation = mag. Color = angle



Two Stream Networks: modeling motion explicitly

Idea: separate motion (multi-frame) from static appearance (single frame)





Simonyan and Zisserman, Two-Stream Convolutional Networks for Action Recognition in Videos, NIPS 2014

Two Stream Networks: modeling motion explicitly

Idea: separate motion (multi-frame) from static appearance (single frame)





Simonyan and Zisserman, Two-Stream Convolutional Networks for Action Recognition in Videos, NIPS 2014

Additional models

Inflating 2D networks to 3D (I3D)

Take an existing 2D CNN model → convert it to a 3D CNN model Transfer the weights from 2D and 3D

Carreira and Zisserman, "Quo Vadis, Action Recognition? A New Model and the Kinetics Dataset", CVPR 2017

Long range temporal processing

Use LSTMs and RNNs to model long range temporal information

Baccouche et al, "Sequential Deep Learning for Human Action Recognition", 2011 Donahue et al, "Long-term recurrent convolutional networks for visual recognition and description", CVPR 2015

Long range temporal processing

Self attention, non-local networks, Transformers



Self-Supervision in Videos



- Temporal order
- Cycle consistency
- Video Speedup
- Video colorization

Self-Supervision in Videos: frame ordering

Training data: shuffled video frames, original video frames **Pretext task:** predict if the frames are in the correct temporal order (binary classification task)





Self-Supervision in Videos: frame ordering





Misra et. al., Shuffle and Learn: Unsupervised Learning using Temporal Order Verification, ECCV 2016

Self-Supervision in Videos: frame ordering

Transfer learning: fine-tune spatial stream for video classification



Dataset	Initialization	Mean Accuracy
UCF101	Random	38.6
	(Ours) Tuple verification	50.2
HMDB51	Random	13.3
	UCF Supervised	15.2
	(Ours) Tuple verification	18.1



Ultimate goal: Tracking

Pretext task: video colorization by learning to copy color from a reference frame **Training data:** grayscale videos + original color videos



Video colorization by learning to copy color from a reference frame





Vondrick et. al, Tracking Emerges by Colorizing Videos, ECCV 2018

DL4CV Weizmann



Vondrick et. al, Tracking Emerges by Colorizing Videos, ECCV 2018

DL4CV Weizmann



Vondrick et. al, Tracking Emerges by Colorizing Videos, ECCV 2018

Video colorization by learning to copy color from a reference frame

linear combination of the reference colors

$$y_j = \sum_i A_{ij} c_i$$

a similarity matrix between reference and target (rows sum to one)









Predicted Segmentations



Held-out video







Ultimate goal: Correspondence







Learning Similarity from Tracking



Tracking → Similarity [Wang et al, 2015; Pathak et al, 2017]





Ultimate goal: Correspondence, without using off-the-shelf tracking methods

How to obtain supervision?

Supervision: Cycle-Consistency in Time



Track backwards in time

Track forwards, back to the future

Supervision: Cycle-Consistency in Time Challenge: Occlusions



Skip-cycles: skipping occlusions



Differentiable tracker: densely match features in learned feature space

$$A_{ij} = \frac{\exp\left(f_i^T f_j\right)}{\sum_k \exp\left(f_k^T f_j\right)}$$





Test time: compute features to each frame, compute features affinity, propagate information using the affinities







Self-Supervision in Videos: Temporal cycle consistency



Dwibed et. al. Temporal Cycle-Consistency Learning, CVPR'19



Jabri et. al, Space time correspondence as Contrastive Random Walk, NeurIPS 2020



Ultimate goal: Watch video content faster by adaptively speeding up the video





Joint work with: Sagie Benaim, Ariel Ephrat, Oran Lang, Inbar Mosseri, Bill Freeman, Miki Rubinstein and Michal Irani, CVPR 2020

"Speediness" in Videos

Slower

Normal speed











Joint work with: Sagie Benaim, Ariel Ephrat, Oran Lang, Inbar Mosseri, Bill Freeman, Miki Rubinstein and Michal Irani, CVPR 2020

Pretext task: Predict if a given video segment is sped up or not **Training data:** sped up video segments + original video segments

(30 frames)

Self supervised training on Kinetics

"Learning and Using the Arrow of Time", Wei at. al, CVPR 2018





Pretext task: Predict if a given video segment is sped up or not **Training data:** sped up video segments + original video segments

Self supervised training on Kinetics SpeedNet

Normal speed or Sped Up

Input segment (30 frames)



Learning properties of natural motion, avoid "easy cheats" → very challenging!

Pretext task: Predict if a given video segment is sped up or not **Training data:** sped up video segments + original video segments



* "Rethinking spatiotemporal feature learning: Speed-accuracy trade-offs in video classification", Saining Xie, Chen Sun, Jonathan Huang, Zhuowen Tu, and Kevin Murphy, ECCV'18.

Inference: sliding window \rightarrow prediction for every frame



From "Speediness" to Speedup factor: Low speediness → speedup more High speediness → speedup less





Learning the Speediness in Videos: Adaptive Video Speedup





Learning the Speediness in Videos: Transfer Learning

Pre-trained SpeedNet



Self Supervised Action Recognition

Initialization		Supervised accuracy	
Method	Architecture	UCF101	HMDB51
Random init	S3D-G	73.8	46.4
ImageNet inflated	S3D-G	86.6	57.7
Kinetics supervised	S3D-G	96.8	74.5
CubicPuzzle [19]	3D-ResNet18	65.8	33.7
Order [40]	R(2+1)D	72.4	30.9
DPC [13]	3D-ResNet34	75.7	35.7
AoT [38]	T-CAM	79.4	-
SpeedNet (Ours)	S3D-G	81.1	48.8

Video Retrieval

Query





















Learning the Speediness in Videos: CAM visualizations



"Memory Eleven" artistic video by Bill Newsinge



Our space-time speediness visualization

blue/green = normal speed

yellow/orange =
slowed down



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=djylS0Wi_lo

Next tutorial: "GPU Fundamentals"



Next class: "Neural Rendering"



