

Malice in Wonderland: Dreams of the Orient and the Destruction of the Palace of the Emperor of China

Erik Ringmar

abstract: This article explains the destruction of the Yuanmingyuan, the imperial palace compound located north-west of Beijing, by an Anglo-French army in 1860. Bracketing the political and military context, we look at how the palace of the emperor of China has been interpreted in European cultural history and how it was understood by the people responsible for the destruction. To Europeans, the Yuanmingyuan was a place of wonder, and it was more than anything the transformation of the language of wonder which made the palace vulnerable to European aggression. Inter-cultural aesthetic judgments, we will conclude, always have political implications.

To Europeans, for centuries, the palace of the emperor of China was a main feature of their dreams of the Orient.¹ Not surprisingly, the few European visitors who actually had seen the place came back with accounts filled with wonders. Medieval friars and travelers outdid each other in tales of the beauty of the palace and of the immense power wielded by the "Great Kaan." And although subsequent reports from Jesuits stationed at the imperial court were more measured, they too spoke of its beauty and its many varied delights. And then, in 1860, during the Second Opium War, the imperial palace, the Yuanmingyuan, was first looted and then burned to the ground by a contingent of British and French troops. "J'ai marché pendant deux jours sur plus de trente millions de francs de soieries, de bijoux, de porcelaines, bronzes, sculptures," wrote Armand Lucy, a French soldier, "Je ne crois pas qu'on ait vu chose pareille depuis le sac de Rome par les Barbares."² "The light was so subdued by the clouds of smoke," Garnet Wolseley, one of the British

1 I am grateful to Julie K. Allen, Allen Chun, Nigel Leask, David Porter, Maria Tatar, Yana Zuo, an audience at Academia Sinica, Taipei, and the indefatigable efforts of the librarians at www.archive.org for help with a previous version of this paper. On the destruction of the Yuanmingyuan, see Ringmar, "Liberal Barbarism and the Oriental Sublime."

2 Lucy, *Lettres intimes*, 96.

officers, remembered, "that it seemed as if the sun was undergoing a lengthened eclipse."³

The aim of this article is to explain this destruction. Most explanations focus on the political and military context of the time: the lack of discipline in the French army and a British desire to take revenge for the brutal treatment which a group of hostages received at the hands of the Chinese.⁴ Yet when it comes to the destruction of an object that hitherto has featured mainly in dreams, political and military contexts are never going to be enough. A proper explanation must also consider the status of the palace of the Chinese emperor in European cultural history, and in the minds of the people responsible for the destruction. The question, in other words, is not so much *why* the destruction happened as *how it could have* happened. Investigating the conditions of its possibility, we need to understand how the imperial palace has been described by Europeans and how the descriptions have changed over time.

In European accounts of the imperial palace recourse was always taken to the latest aesthetic principles embraced not in China, but in Europe. As a result, the descriptions changed, often abruptly, not because the palace itself changed, but because of changes in European conceptions of beauty. Comparing two eighteenth-century accounts of the Yuanmingyuan, written only fifty years apart, shows how dramatic these transformations could be. "Everything there is grand and truly beautiful," the Jesuit missionary Jean Denis Attiret assured his European correspondent in 1745, "and I am all the more struck by it, since I never have seen anything like it." Everything is "of a beauty and a taste which I could never

3 Wolseley, *Narrative of the War with China*, 279; on the history of the palace, see Malone, *History of the Peking Summer Palace*, 279.

4 On September 18, 1860, the Chinese took 39 hostages, including twenty Indian soldiers and Thomas Bowlby, the correspondent for the Times. In the end only 18 of them were returned alive. See D'Escayrac de Lauture, "Récit de la captivité," II:349-355; Loch, *Personal Narrative*; For the official rationale for the destruction, see Stanmore, *Sidney Herbert*, II:349-355; Knollys, "Remarks on the French March," 214-225.

express.”⁵ Yet in 1793, John Barrow, a member of the Macartney mission to China, who spent five weeks inside the palace, “saw none of those extravagant beauties and picturesque embellishments,” which had made Yuanmingyuan famous throughout Europe.⁶

Of those parts contiguous to the palace, which may be supposed the most carefully cultivated, and the numerous pavilions and ornamental buildings in the best order, I can say nothing in praise; no care whatever appeared to be taken of any, nor regard had to cleanliness.⁷

As we will discover, the language of wonder has implications for the distribution of power between the wonderer and the thing wondered at. Wonderland may be a magical place, but it is political through and through. By eliciting wonder, the palace of the Chinese emperor had a power over its visitors which they either could resist or passively submit themselves to. Submitting themselves, the medieval travelers acknowledged the immense power of the emperor and their own inferiority. Refusing to marvel, the British commanders in 1860 emphasized the powerlessness of the Chinese in the face of European imperialism. In this way the language of wonder provides us with a way of characterizing the relationship between Europe and China, and its transformation over time. The question is who the wonder-inducing power belongs to, but also how the wonderers react to what they experience. The transformation of the language of wonder, the argument will be, allowed for a reinterpretation of the Yuanmingyuan which made it vulnerable to European aggression.

the marvel of medieval travelers

The language of marvel was the preferred idiom of medieval travelers.⁸ In the

5 Attiret, “Lettre du frère Attiret,” 389-390, 393.

6 Barrow, *Travels in China*, 85-86.

7 Ibid., 85.

8 Daston and Park, *Wonders and the Order of Nature*, 25-38; Greenblatt, *Marvelous Possessions*, 52-85; Bynum, “Wonder,” 12-14.

Middle Ages travelers always marveled at what they saw, and since marvels were thought to be more common "in the margins of the world," they were more common in the East.⁹ This supposition was amply confirmed in the thirteenth-century when *Pax mongolica* made it possible for European merchants and missionaries to travel all the way to China. In their accounts, the palace of the "Great Kaan" featured prominently. The most famous description is by Marco Polo, who reached Beijing in 1266 and spent some 24 years in China, but Odoric of Pordenone, who set off from Padua in 1318, also mentions the palace, as does Giovanni de Marignolli, who visited Beijing in 1342; William of Rubruck left an account of the palace in Karakorum which he visited in 1254.¹⁰

The emperor's palace is not just a single building, the travelers explained, but a vast compound "some four miles in compass," and "within this space be many other fine palaces," and an enclosure where the Khan lives with his family.¹¹ There is an artificial hill planted with trees, and an artificial lake with bridges across. Much of the compound is filled with birds, but also wild game so that the emperor "can follow the chase when he chooses without ever quitting the domain."¹² The main palace has 24 pillars and the walls are hung with skins of red leather; in the middle of the building is a large jar from which visitors can drink, and there is a collection of artificial peacocks and, amazingly, a tame lion is walking around from room to room.

Everything in the imperial palace is great in size and number. The emperor's family is "so numerous," Odoric explained, and his courtiers form an "innumerable multitude," and so do even the birds of his artificial lake.¹³ And everything is

9 Or, for that matter, in Ireland. Daston and Park, *Wonders and the Order of Nature*, 34-39.

10 Polo, *Book of Ser Marco Polo*; an influential collection of primary sources, first published in 1614, is Purchas, *Purchas His Pilgrimage*, which included all the then published accounts of Jesuit missionaries in China; see Markley, *Far East and English Imagination*, 81; Ruysbroek, *Journey of William of Rubruck*.

11 Friar Odoric, "The Friar Reacheth Cambalech," 130.

12 Ibid.

13 Polo, *Book of Ser Marco Polo*, 261.

exceptionally beautiful. The artificial hill is “the most beautiful in the whole world”; the red leather on the walls of the palace are “the world's finest”; and “if there be in the whole world any fine and large pearls” they are sure to adorn one of the emperor's ladies. Plenitude and beauty emphasized the emperor's wealth; the 24 pillars of the palace were made from gold and so were the artificial peacocks; the drinking jar was carved from a precious stones which “exceeded the value of four great towns,” and the pearls which adorned the coats of his courtiers were each “worth some fifteen thousand florins” — and there were no fewer than 14,000 of them.¹⁴

Plenitude, beauty and wealth, in turn, were expressions of the emperor's immense power. Before his throne, the visitors first fell silent, then they prostrated themselves — performing the *koutou*, the “three kneeling and three knockings of the head” prescribed by the court protocol.¹⁵ And yet their silence was more a result of sheer dumb-foundedness than fear of incurring the emperor's wrath. The palace was a “marvel” and a “wonder to behold.” “In short,” Odoric concluded, “the court is truly magnificent, and the most perfectly ordered that there is in the world.”¹⁶ To *koutou* before such a ruler, was a sign of submission to be sure, but then again the European visitors were few in number and they had nothing but their good behavior to back up their modest demands for trade and for the right to preach the gospel.

Returning home, the “marvels of the East” featured prominently in the travelers' accounts. Marco Polo was nicknamed “Millione” for his reputation for exaggeration, but believable or not, plenty of marvels were required if the stories were to find an audience. Marvel was what travelers were supposed to do, and when they did, it helped to make sense of their long journeys.¹⁷ By making their

14 Friar Odoric, “The Friar Reacheth Cambalech,” 132.

15 For a description, see Rockhill, *Diplomatic Audiences*, 28.

16 Friar Odoric, “The Friar Reacheth Cambalech,” 134.

17 Greenblatt, *Marvelous Possessions*, 77-79.

listeners marvel in turn, the tales, and their tellers, borrowed some of the magic, and power, of which they spoke. But just as the travelers' initial reaction, the response of their audiences was not one of fear. Behind the many wondrous objects, animals and people, there was an emperor who was the source of them all, and his person, in the end, was the true marvel.¹⁸ Seeing him in his attributes and in his actions, but rarely catching more than a glimpse of the person himself, the Europeans were amazed.

the curiosity of the Jesuits

Following the example set by Matteo Ricci, the Jesuit missionaries in China pursued a top-down strategy of conversion.¹⁹ By first convincing the imperial court to embrace Christianity, they hoped later to convert the whole country. To this end they presented the emperor with various examples of European-made technology and arts, and offered their services as painters, cartographers, astronomers, clock-makers and even cannon-founders. The letters home from the Jesuit mission — published in Paris as *Lettres édifiantes et curieuses* — provided, in the seventeenth- and eighteenth-centuries, the most authoritative source of information about China. The *Lettres* were widely read, not least by defenders of royal prerogatives in England and, in France, by *philosophes* — Voltaire most famously — for whom China became a model of rational government and social order.²⁰

Although their access was restricted, their work in the Yuanmingyuan allowed a few of the Jesuits to put together a reasonably comprehensive description of the palaces and gardens. The most famous account is found in a letter written in 1745 by Jean Denis Attiret, a painter at the court.²¹ Attiret described a park, complete

18 Bynum, "Wonder," 13-14, 20, 24.

19 On Ricci, see Mungello, *Curious Land*, 44-73; Porter, *Ideographia*, 83-108.

20 On the sinophile fantasies of the English architect and amateur scholar John Webb, see Markley, *Far East and English Imagination*, 76-79; cf. Porter, *Ideographia*, 124-132.

21 Attiret, "Lettre du frère Attiret," 387-412, translated into English in 1752.

with palaces, pavilions, tea-houses, temples, pagodas and lakes filled birds and magnificent boats. The emperor has selected 40 locations from which the garden presents itself in a particularly attractive fashion, he explained. Among them are buildings taken from around China and the world: temples from Mongolia and Tibet, a hamlet and a river scene from Hunan, gardens from Suzhou and Hangzhou, and a set of European-style palaces.²² Yuanmingyuan even had a faithful replica of a regular Chinese street filled with shops, stalls, hawkers, customers and beggars, where the emperor moved around at his own leisure, and his women struck bargains with the eunuchs who played the part of vendors.²³ In addition there was a farmhouse, complete with fields, animals and all kinds of agricultural equipment.

Most remarkable, however, was the “beautiful disorder” and “anti-symmetry” which governed the lay-out and the design. Buildings of very different kinds were placed close together; the paths were not straight, but meandering; the bridges across the lakes were zigzagging; the doors and windows were not square but round, oval, or shaped in the form of flowers, birds or fish.²⁴ Although such a description may sound ridiculous, Attiret admitted, “seeing it in person you think differently and begin to admire the art with which this irregularity is put together.” Since nothing can be taken in by one glance, you are forced to explore the gardens on foot, and each new turn of the path provides new vistas and impressions. This “admirable variety” gives the visitor a delightful and enchanting feeling, which makes the Yuanmingyuan into a “pleasure ground” rather than an imperial palace. “Il y a de quoi s’amuser longtemps, et de quoi satisfaire toute sa curiosité.”²⁵

The person for whom these amusements had been assembled was the emperor, but in the Jesuits’ accounts he was no longer the omnipotent ruler the medieval travelers had described, but instead something like a *petit prince* who

22 Wong, “European Buildings with Chinese Characteristics”; Thomas, “Yuanming Yuan/Versailles,” 133-134.

23 Attiret, “Lettre du frère Attiret,” 396-397.

24 Ibid., 401-402.

25 Quotes in this paragraph are from Ibid., 400-401.

walked around in his enchanted garden surprised and delighted at everything he saw.²⁶ The Yuanmingyuan, in its variety and comprehensiveness represented all there is: times past and times future, exotic locations, amazing animals, flora and fauna, high mountains, oceans, the countryside and the city. And the emperor was the unquestioned ruler of this *bijou* universe. Moreover, since everything was harmoniously ordered and at peace, the garden gave ample evidence of the virtue of his reign.

In terms of the aesthetic categories popular in the eighteenth-century, this was all very *curieux*.²⁷ In the Jesuits' letters the medieval language of beauty and terror has been replaced by impressions of delightful multiplicity. The Yuanmingyuan was transformed into a rococo palace: a vast cabinet of curiosities filled with life-like *automata* and *trompe l'œil* effects.²⁸ It was a cornucopia which constantly produced things at the same time overwhelming and surprising. There is, says Attiret, an unsurpassed fecundity in the spirit of the Chinese. In fact, "I am tempted to think that we are poor and sterile by comparison."²⁹ In an earlier era, such fecundity would have served as proof regarding the location of Paradise. After all, Paradise too was a garden where everything constantly and effortlessly rejuvenated itself. In a later era, the same fecundity would become a sign of the productivity of the Chinese soil and the riches of Chinese markets which were waiting to be tapped by European merchants.³⁰ But for the Jesuits themselves it was above all a feature in which to take a curious delight.

the sublime of the poets

Attiret's account of the Yuanmingyuan had a far-reaching impact in Europe. Fitting

26 Attiret, "Lettre du frère Attiret," 403; Cf. Porter, *Ideographia*, 147-155.

27 Daston and Park, *Wonders and the Order of Nature*, 273-274.

28 On cabinet of curiosities, see Daston and Park, *Wonders and the Order of Nature*, 255-290; Benedict, *Curiosity*, 134-135.

29 Attiret, "Lettre du frère Attiret," 399.

30 Markley, *Far East and English Imagination*, 79.

perfectly with the already well-established fashion for chinoiserie, Attiret helped inspire the creation of Chinese-style gardens and garden features across the continent.³¹ Only a few years after the publication of his account, Frederick the Great built a *Chinesisches Haus* at Sans Soucis; Catherine the Great a Chinese palace at Oranienbaum; and Adolf Fredrik, king of Sweden, built a *Kina slott* at Drottningholm. In 1761, the architect William Chambers erected a fifty meter tall pagoda in Kew Gardens, as well as a "House of Confucius."³²

In contrast to his colleagues, Chambers had actually been to China.³³ As a young man he visited Guangzhou twice in the 1740s, on board ships of the Swedish East-India Company. Here he had studied Chinese architecture and garden art, and once back in Europe he published, in 1757, a short pamphlet, *On the Art of Laying out Gardens among the Chinese*.³⁴ There are three different kinds of scenes in a Chinese garden, Chambers explained, the "pleasing, horrid, and enchanted."³⁵ While the pleasing and the enchanted corresponded to categories already discussed by the Jesuits, his emphasis on the horrid was something quite new. These horrid scenes, Chambers insisted, were filled with ill-formed trees torn by tempests, impending rocks, impetuous cataracts, and buildings half-consumed by fire.³⁶ They were, in short, designed to elicit feelings of the sublime.

The sublime was an aesthetic discovery of the eighteenth-century.³⁷ Originally defined as a rhetorical device — a certain "heightening" of language — it gradually came to be applied to natural features: in particular to high mountains, and to

31 For a survey, see Sirén, *China and the Gardens of Europe*.

32 The *Chinesisches Haus* dates from 1755; *Kina Slott* from 1753, and the Chinese palace at Oranienbaum from 1762. On Kew gardens, see Chambers, *Plans, Elevations, Sections*.

33 In 1743-44 and 1748-49. See Harris, "Chambers."

34 Originally published in *The Gentleman's Magazine*, May 1757, and reprinted in Chambers, "The Art of Laying Out Gardens," quotes from 129, 144.

35 Chambers, *A Dissertation on Oriental Gardening*, 35-40; on Chambers, see Porter, *Ideographia*, 155-162, 175-181; Porter, "Beyond the Bounds of Truth"; Liu, *Seeds of a Different Eden*, 6-10.

36 Chambers, *A Dissertation on Oriental Gardening*, 131-132.

37 Nicolson, *Mountain Gloom and Mountain Glory*, 271-323.

vistas seen from high mountains, but also to other dramatic, obscure or mysterious sceneries. Traveling in Italy in 1688, John Dennis discovered "horrid, hideous, ghastly Ruins," but was surprised to find that they gave him a "delightful horror" and a "terrible joy."³⁸ Developing the concept, the Third Earl of Shaftesbury and Joseph Addison described the sublime as that awe which fills us as we contemplate supreme beauty or the infinite powers of God. The concept was on everyone's lips in the spring of 1757 when Edmund Burke published *The Origin of Our Ideas of the Sublime and the Beautiful*, only a few weeks before Chambers published his pamphlet on Chinese gardens. As Burke explained, the sublime astonishes us, overwhelms our senses and suspends our power of reasoning, but the effect is pleasurable, not scary, since we know we never will come to any actual harm.³⁹ The sublime is a game we play, and as Addison pointed out in his praise of its irregular aesthetics, a Chinese garden is a perfect setting for it.⁴⁰ Chambers emphatically agreed.

In a subsequent and longer work, *A Dissertation on Oriental Gardening*, 1772, Chambers returned to the garden of horror, and used the Yuanmingyuan as an illustration. After describing the pleasures induced by its many meandering paths and enchanting vistas, he turned to the "scenes of terror": "gloomy woods, deep vallies inaccessible to the sun, impending barren rocks, dark caverns, and impetuous cataracts rushing down the mountains from all parts."⁴¹

Bats, owls, and every bird of prey flutter in the groves; wolves, tigers and jackalls howl in the forests; half-famished animals wander upon the plains; gibbets, crosses, wheels, and the whole apparatus of torture, are seen from the roads; and in the most dismal recesses of the woods, where the ways are rugged and overgrown with weeds, and where every object bears the marks of depopulation, are temples dedicated to the king of vengeance, deep caverns in the rocks, and descents to subterraneous habitations, overgrown with brushwood and brambles.⁴²

38 Ibid., 279 on Shaftesbury and Addison, see 289-323.

39 Burke, *A Philosophical Enquiry*, 41-43.

40 Addison quoted in Nicolson, *Mountain Gloom and Mountain Glory*, 316-318; Lovejoy, "The First Gothic Revival," 113-115.

41 Chambers, *A Dissertation on Oriental Gardening*, 37.

42 Ibid.

Chambers had clearly overdone it.⁴³ The *Dissertation* was mercilessly ridiculed by the defenders of the classical English garden who refused to believe that anything of value could be learned from the Chinese, and who objected to what they saw as Chambers' defense of pointless embellishments and the excessively decorative.⁴⁴ Chinese gardens were too cluttered, the critics argued, and this gave them a contrived and unnatural feel. And of course Chambers' outlandish account of the "garden of terror" was an easy target for ridicule. "In the Emperor's garden of Yven-Ming-Uven, near Pekin," William Mason noted sarcastically, "fine lizards, and fine women, human giants, and giant baboon, make but a small part of the superb scenery."⁴⁵ Hurt by such attacks, Chambers inserted an apologetic "explanatory discourse" when the *Dissertation* was republished, and there was absolutely nothing Chinese or ornamental about his next major commission, the classically Neoclassical Somerset House in the center of London.⁴⁶

And yet the sensibility of which Chambers' account was an expression could not easily be held back. To Romantic writers of the turn of the nineteenth-century, the wonders of the Orient were a source of exotic reveries and, once again, the palace of the Chinese emperor was a favorite topic. In October 1797, Samuel Taylor Coleridge took a few grains of laudanum, read a few pages from *Purchas His Pilgrimage* — a collection of medieval travelers' tales — and promptly fell asleep. When he woke up, he wrote a poem, describing a sublime paradise which inspired both longing and dread:

In Xanadu did Kubla Khan

43 Sirén, *China and the Gardens of Europe*, 102.

44 See the discussion in Bald, "Sir William Chambers and the Chinese Garden," 287-320; Lovejoy, "The First Gothic Revival," 120-122; Porter, *Ideographia*, 175-177; Liu, *Seeds of a Different Eden*, 6-10.

45 Mason, *Heroic Epistle*, 3-4; As Burke's Annual Register noted in an obituary: "The horrible and strange devices described to exist in the Chinese gardens have been much ridiculed, but are no more than had been before published by father Attiret, in his account of the Emperor of China's gardens near Pekin." Burke, "Anecdotes of the Late Sir William Chambers."

46 Bald, "Sir William Chambers and the Chinese Garden," 307-310; As Lovejoy points out, sudden switches between Neo-Classicism and the Neo-Gothic were also common. Lovejoy, "The First Gothic Revival," 151-152.

a stately pleasure-dome decree,
where Alph, the sacred river, ran
through caverns measureless to man
down to a sunless sea. ...

A savage place! As holy and enchanted
as a'er beneath a waning moon was haunted
by woman wailing for her demon lover.
And from this chasm, with ceaseless turmoil seething,
As if this earth in fast thick pants were breathing,
A mighty fountain momentarily was forced:...

And 'mid this tumult Kubla heard from far
Ancestral voices prophesying war! ...

And all should cry, Beware! Beware!
His flashing eyes, his floating hair!
Weave a circle round him thrice,
And close your eyes with holy dread,
For he on honey-dew hath fed,
And drunk the milk of Paradise.⁴⁷

Coleridge is clearly moving in the same poetic territory as Chambers. It cannot be very far from his "caverns measureless to man" to Chambers' "deep caverns in the rocks."⁴⁸ But it is equally not very far from the account which Marco Polo once had given. What Coleridge had seen in his dream was the palace of Kublai Khan, which Polo had visited, and Polo's account was reprinted in *Purchas Pilgrimage* which Coleridge read before he fell asleep.⁴⁹ Coleridge is unashamedly medieval in his references, relying on dreams rather than on empirical observations, and the violent, intoxicating, images are, much like the descriptions of medieval travelers, combining the marvelous with impressions of overwhelming might.⁵⁰ Subjected to a Neo-Gothic transfiguration, the wonders of Polo's palace have become sublime. What has been added, that is, is a Burkean aesthetics of the vicarious *frisson*: the

47 Coleridge, "Kubla Khan," 276-279.

48 Nicolson would see them both as sharing a number of eighteenth-century commonplaces. Nicolson, *Mountain Gloom and Mountain Glory*, 289-290.

49 "In Xanadu did Cublai Can build a stately palace, encompassing sixteen miles of plain ground with a wall, wherein are fertile meadows, pleasant springs, delightful streams, and all sorts of beasts and chase and game, and in the middle thereof a sumptuous house of pleasure, which may be removed from place to place." Purchas, *Purchas His Pilgrimage*, 415.

50 On the written sources of the poem, see Lowes, *Road to Xanadu*, 356-425; on the natural sources, see Holmes, *Coleridge*, 164; as Lovejoy shows, Chinese and Gothic references were often invoked by the same author. Lovejoy, "The First Gothic Revival," 159.

intense pleasure which comes from a knowingly unjustified fear of imminent and grievous bodily harm.

The contrast with the Jesuits' accounts is most clearly brought out by the sexual references implied. While Attiret's emperor may have been a wide-eyed *petit prince*, ignorant of the desires of grown-ups, the world he inhabited was constantly rejuvenated thanks to its joyous, unrestrained, fecundity. By contrast, the palace described both by Chambers and Coleridge is a location of sadomasochistic orgies. It is a place of devastation and fear; where women "wail for demon lovers", and "close their eyes in holy dread." Ruled by the orgiastic and the Dionysian, the imperial palace is the source of an unstoppable life-force which simultaneously both creates and destroys. Yet its destructive effects are more obvious than its regenerative; this world is more barren than fecund, and the sexual encounters that take place, like the futile coupling of eunuchs, leave no issue. Like rape fantasies, these Orientalist visions are less about sex than about power.

the picturesque of the diplomats

In August 1793, a British diplomatic delegations led by George Macartney arrived in Beijing with the aim opening up the enormous Chinese market to trade in British-made goods. Arriving at the imperial palace, clearly expecting a sublime experience, the diplomats were sorely disappointed.⁵¹ "From every thing I can learn," Macartney concluded, "it falls very short of the fanciful descriptions which father Attiret and Sir William Chambers have intruded upon us as realities."⁵² John Barrow, the Comptroller-General to the mission, who spent five weeks at the Yuanmingyuan, agreed: Chambers' descriptions were "extravagant," and the Jesuits

51 There is a parallel here with eighteenth-century English travelers who came prepared for sublime experiences when crossing the Alps. Nicolson, *Mountain Gloom and Mountain Glory*, 355.

52 Macartney quoted in Barrow, "Embassy to China," 133.

had their own motives for presenting the place in the most favorable light.⁵³ Our task, Barrow concluded, should be to “divest the court of the tinsel and the tawdry varnish with which, like the palaces of the Emperor, the missionaries have found it expedient to cover it in their writings.”⁵⁴

Their own descriptions make a clear distinction between the buildings, their content, and the gardens themselves.⁵⁵ With the buildings, the British visitors were far from impressed. The palaces, said Aeneas Anderson, were too small, too heavily decorated, and “not only destitute of elegance, but in a wretched state of repair,” giving “an appearance of neglect.”⁵⁶ “These assemblages of buildings,” said Barrow, “which they dignify with the name of palaces,” are “more remarkable for their number than for their splendour or magnificence.”

A great proportion of the buildings consists in mean cottages. The very dwelling of the Emperor and the grand hall in which he gives audience, when divested of the gilding and the gaudy colours with which they are daubed, are little superior, and much less solid, than the barns of a substantial English farmer.⁵⁷

And while Macartney himself was more positive — calling the buildings “perfect of their kind” — what he appreciated were not the structures themselves but the way they were arranged in the landscape, creating a pleasing comprehensive view.⁵⁸

As for the imperial collections, the diplomats were more impressed.

Macartney praised the “stupendous vases of jasper and agate”; the “finest porcelain and Japan”; and spheres, orreries, clocks, and musical automatons of “exquisite

53 By exaggerating the power and magnificence of the Chinese, “their own triumph would be all the greater” once the country eventually was converted to Christianity. *Ibid.*, 123.

54 *Ibid.*, 2.

55 On the separate development of the aesthetics of gardens and of architecture in eighteenth-century Britain, see Lovejoy, “The First Gothic Revival,” 157-158; as Nicolson points out, although Addison defended irregular garden art, his view on architecture was perfectly Neo-Classical. Nicolson, *Mountain Gloom and Mountain Glory*, 318.

56 Anderson, *A Narrative of the British Embassy to China*, 111.

57 Barrow, *Travels in China*, 124; Barrow's assessment was echoed by James Mill, among others, see Mill, “Review of M. de Guignes,” 425.

58 Macartney quoted in Barrow, *Travels in China*, 136.

workmanship."⁵⁹ And yet, overall, the visitors were not enchanted. Valuable and rare though the items may have been, they were "sing-songs" and "toys."⁶⁰ To the British, the imperial collection was a cabinet of curiosities, filled with assorted exotic *bric-à-brac*, designed to astonish rather than enlighten. Children could be amused this way, and people of no education, but it was not of much interest to men of learning. And men of learning was precisely what the members of the Macartney mission considered themselves to be. Educated in the latest advances of European science and technology, they had little interest in exceptional cases and gaudy displays.⁶¹ The gifts they had brought along to give to the emperor reflected their outlook. The emperor was to be presented with a planetarium, celestial and terrestrial globes, telescopes, an air-pump, a hot-air balloon, a microscope, a burning-lens.⁶² As the British diplomats were at pains to point out, these were scientific instruments, not automata or sing-songs.

In Britain the fashion for chinoiserie had peaked in the 1740s, and by the 1790s things Chinese were often regarded as vulgar, at least among men of Neoclassical tastes.⁶³ From a Neoclassical perspective, the Chinese style, much like French rococo or Neo-Gothic architecture, was considered as overbearing and false. This was art for fops — exotic, effeminate, clutter — to whose seductiveness real men succumbed only at their own, emasculating, peril. Chinese art had no balance, no order, no perspective and no truth. Its very undecipherableness was an insult to man's intelligence and a threat to one's sense of propriety and, more generally, to peace and social stability.⁶⁴ "A simple, naked statue, finished by the hand of a

59 Ibid., 129.

60 Sing-song was the generic European term for mechanical gadgets and automata sold in Guangzhou. See Braga, "Seller of 'Sing-Songs'"; Cranmer-Byng and Levere, "A Case Study in Cultural Collission," 509.

61 Cf. the discussion of "the Enlightenment and the Anti-Marvelous," in Daston and Park, *Wonders and the Order of Nature*, 329-363.

62 Schaffer, "Instruments as Cargo in the China Trade," 217-246.

63 The rise and fall in the fad for chinoiserie is traced in Porter, *Ideographia*, 133-192; and Porter, "A Peculiar but Uninteresting Nation"; on the Continent, however, the fashion peaked only in the 1780s. See Harris, "Chambers."

64 Porter, *Ideographia*, 166-172.

Grecian artist," as James Mill put it in 1809, in a comparison between Chinese and European art, "is of more genuine value than all these rude and costly monuments of Barbaric labour."⁶⁵

It was only for the gardens and the grounds of the imperial palace that the British diplomats showed real enthusiasm. "It is one of the finest forest-scenes in the world," said Macartney of the gardens at the imperial summer retreat at Chengde.⁶⁶ Reaching the summit of one of the hills, a twenty-mile vista suddenly opened up below him, "and certainly so rich, so various, so beautiful, so sublime a prospect my eyes had never beheld."⁶⁷ What particularly attracted the British visitors was the sense of balance and proportion offered by the gardens. As they discovered, all of the Yuanmingyuan was made up of scenes, well-composed *tableaux* designed to be contemplated from designated points along a meandering path. A given building, Barrow noted, should be "seen at a certain distance through the branches of a thicket," and a particular sheet of water is purposely "hemmed in by artificial rocks."⁶⁸

In terms of aesthetic categories, the imperial gardens, to the diplomats, were examples of what by the 1790s was known as "the picturesque."⁶⁹ First made popular by William Gilpin's *Observations on the River Wye*, 1782, the notion of the picturesque was in subsequent decades enthusiastically employed by English gardeners, architects and aesthetes. It denoted a landscape which was framed to look "just like a picture" — with some objects in the "foreground," others in the "middle," and "some trees in the offskip."⁷⁰ And while nature naturally was capable of producing such pictures on its own, it often needed help from an artist. At the hands of the skilled gardener different colors, textures, and materials were

65 Mill, "Review of M. de Guignes," 427.

66 Macartney quoted in Barrow, *Travels in China*, 134.

67 Ibid., 134-135.

68 Barrow quoted in Davis, *The Chinese*, 266-267.

69 The seminal statement is Gilpin, *The Wye Tour*; lampooned in Combe, *Doctor Syntax*; for an overview, see Hussey, *The Picturesque*; Kliger, "Whig Aesthetics."

70 Macartney quoted in Barrow, *Travels in China*, 128.

combined in irregular, undulating, and jagged patterns. Perhaps a tree, or the ruins of an abbey, would improve a composition or, as in China, a pagoda.

The notion of the picturesque achieved an ingenious compromise between the idea of the beautiful and the sublime, and this, no doubt, explains its popularity. Portraying nature in all its diversity, and not hesitating before the dark, the tempestuous and the irregular, the picturesque tapped into sublime, Neo-Gothic, sensibilities. And yet, while the truly sublime defied and overwhelmed all boundaries, the picturesque always contained its subject matter within a perfectly self-contained frame. By balancing contrasting elements against one another, and creating order among diverse objects, the overall impression was peaceful, pleasing, and not in the least terrifying. The landscape was made, and perfectly controlled, by human beings.

In Britain, by the end of the eighteenth-century, the natural was no longer equated with Neoclassical geometrical ideals. In fact, as far as garden art was concerned, the British had fully embraced what looks suspiciously like a Chinese aesthetic. After all, the quintessential English garden too is irregular, meandering and picturesque. The French were quick to pick up on this similarity, referring to it as the hyphenated aesthetic of *le jardin anglo-chinois*. In 1793, the visiting diplomats too were constantly struck by the similarities. "In the course of a few hours," said Macartney, after riding around the imperial garden at Chengde,

I have enjoyed such vicissitudes of rural delight, as I did not conceive could be felt out of England, being at different moments enchanted by scenes perfectly similar to those I had known there, to the magnificence of Stowe, the softer beauties of Wooburn, and the fairy-land of Paine's Hill.⁷¹

The question is how this similarity best should be explained. The inclination of English writers has always been to ascribe the picturesque aesthetic to an indigenous "genius," often associating the freedom of the English garden with English political liberties, and contrasting both with the *étatisme* of the political

71 Macartney quoted in *Ibid.*, 130.

system, and the gardens, of the French.⁷² Non-English writers have been quicker to point out that the first discussions of the new aesthetics always made explicit references to Chinese gardens. After all, it was only once Chinese anti-symmetrical ideals became known in Europe that English gardeners abandoned their geometrical layouts.⁷³ Yet questions of influences are, as always, difficult to settle. As Macartney himself put it in 1793: "Whether our style of gardening was really copied from the Chinese, or originated with ourselves, I leave for vanity to assert, and idleness to discuss."⁷⁴

the politics of wonder

Lets step back for a moment and consider the larger pattern which these various reactions produce. Invoking a more comprehensive conceptual category, we realize that the marvel of the medieval travelers, the curiosity of the Jesuits, the sublime of the poets, and the picturesque of the diplomats, all can be understood as variations on the notion of wonder. Wonder was always the most basic way in which Europeans related to a culture as different, and as strange, as the Chinese. Yet wonder is far from a precise analytical term, and, as we have seen, it includes many different, even contradictory, reactions.⁷⁵ Moreover, the vocabulary of wonder varies over time, forming a cultural history which the different descriptions of the imperial palace allow us to retrace.

Bringing a semblance of order to this permutating terminology, the language of wonder can be divided into two radically different, yet related, usages. Or, differently put, wonder makes the wonderers take up two quite different postures.⁷⁶

72 For a defense of the English origin of the *jardin anglo-chinois*, see Jacques, "On the Supposed Chineseness," 180-191.

73 Lovejoy, "The Chinese Origin of a Romanticism," 99-135; a more recent discussion is Liu, *Seeds of a Different Eden*, 98-100.

74 Macartney quoted in Barrow, *Travels in China*, 134.

75 On this cluster of meanings, see Daston and Park, *Wonders and the Order of Nature*, 15; cf. Bynum, "Wonder," 1-7, 23-25; cf. Greenblatt, "Resonance and Wonder."

76 On the physiological reactions associated with wonder, see Darwin, *Expression of*

The first is an inquiring attitude. "Wonder," as Aristotle put it, "is the beginning of philosophy," that is, the beginning of thought.⁷⁷ Here, to wonder about something is to ask what something is; how it came to be, and how it has, and will, develop. To wonder is to ask questions about causes and consequences; it is to conduct investigations and pursue science. Thus understood, wonder is an active passion, and often as imperative as the desire for food or sex. Like these kindred passions, wonder is strongly associated with a need for control. It is only by controlling the object we wonder about — by isolating it in a laboratory, by holding and manipulating it in our hands — that it can be properly investigated. This is the connection between wonder and colonial appropriation. Arriving in a marvelous land, encountering marvelous things, the Europeans first wondered, then they took the land and the things.⁷⁸

The second, radically different, posture is one of imposed passivity. We wonder at the "wondrous," and the wondrous is best understood as a force which *strikes* us with wonder, *makes* us marvel, and *fills* us with awe.⁷⁹ Here the wonderers are caught off guard; their senses are overwhelmed and they temporarily lose the use of their faculties.⁸⁰ Like thralls, they are enthralled by an all-powerful, external, force which they have no option but to obey. First their jaws drop, then they fall to the ground. The sensation may be one of sheer amazement or one of terror, but the experience may also be pleasant. Indeed, as John Dennis was the first to notice and as Edmund Burke affirmed, the experience can be pleasant *because* it is terrifying.⁸¹ This is the rape fantasy of proverbial Victorian women, where the thing we fear the most also constitutes our most secret desire.

Emotions in Man.

77 Aristotle's *Metaphysics* quoted in Daston and Park, *Wonders and the Order of Nature*, 14; cf. Bynum, "Wonder," 7.

78 This is the function of wonder emphasized by Greenblatt, *Marvelous Possessions*, 52-85; Todorov, *La conquête de l'Amérique*.

79 Daston and Park, *Wonders and the Order of Nature*, 14-15; Bynum, "Wonder," 3-6.

80 Burke, *A Philosophical Enquiry*, 53.

81 Nicolson, *Mountain Gloom and Mountain Glory*, 276-289.

Politically speaking, these wonderers make no claims to colonial appropriation; they do not manipulate anyone; and they are not in a position to impose their will.⁸²

How wonder strikes us depends on the objects we confront, but sometimes the same object can result in either posture. To a considerable extent our reactions depend on our expectations, conditioned by the culture in which we live. This explains the variation in the reactions we have surveyed. It was the aesthetic presumptions of their time which made European visitors to China take up one posture rather than another. But postures also depend on the distribution of power between the wonderer and the thing wondered at, and, importantly, a given distribution of power will be reinforced or undermined depending on the posture we adopt. This is why wonder in China never had the same political consequences as wonder in the New World. In the Americas, the Europeans met little organized resistance and wonder, more often than not, resulted in appropriation. In China, by contrast, the Europeans could not simply take what they wondered at, but had instead to ask for an opportunity to buy it. Very frustratingly, the requests for trading privileges were usually rejected by the Chinese authorities.⁸³

As we saw, the first European travelers to China were asked to assume a reverential posture. The power of the emperor overwhelmed them, they were struck by awe, and obligingly they quickly prostrated themselves. The European visitors were utterly powerless but this was not a great concern since they had no illusions regarding conquest or control. Although they were at the mercy of the emperor, their reactions expressed amazement rather than fear, and amazement was also what their tales conveyed to their listeners back home. The relationship between the emperor and the Jesuits was always quite different. The Jesuits

82 "Medieval theories of wonder," Bynum insists, "made the point that wonder is non-appropriative yet based on facticity and singularity." Bynum, "Wonder," 24.

83 Greenblatt notices the difference between Marco Polo and Columbus, but basing his account on European relations with the New World, he exaggerates the role of wonder understood as appropriation. Wonder is treated by Greenblatt as a discursive rather than a political relationship. Greenblatt, *Marvelous Possessions*, 53.

ingratiated themselves with the court by providing unique services for which there was no ready substitute. As their letters make clear, this made the emperor their benevolent, if somewhat unpredictable, friend. And while the Jesuits certainly *koutou*-ed before his throne, this was done as a matter of courtesy and not in awe. The posture of the Jesuits was instead one of unashamed curiosity.⁸⁴ Steeped first in the Hermeticism of the Renaissance and, by the seventeenth-century, in the creeds of the Scientific Revolution, the missionaries were keen to learn the language, and as much as possible about Chinese customs, philosophy and religion.⁸⁵ In addition, the Jesuits wanted to show that they were doing good work and that they made progress advancing Christianity. Through their well-publicized *Lettres* they recruited curious readers across Europe who shared their interest in China and their conviction regarding the importance of their mission.

Next, compare the wonder expressed by poets and by diplomats. Clearly it was quite impossible for someone of Coleridge's sensibilities to be satisfied with picturesque descriptions. Having no interest in a place that looked "just like Kew," he preferred medieval traveler tales to the accounts of the Macartney mission.⁸⁶ Coleridge's version of the imperial palace is a perfect example of the sublime, as defined by Dennis and Burke. It is a dream of the pleasures of transgression, intoxication and sexual submission. Subjecting ourselves to the power of an Oriental ruler, we relinquish all claims of our own. Politically speaking, "Kubla Khan" can be read as a renunciation of "the white man's burden" and a plea for colonizers and colonized to trade places. Chambers, by contrast, was not so quick to abandon himself. He praised the Chinese not only for the terror, but also for the delight inspired by their gardens. On both accounts, however, he met criticism back home. Enchantments were effeminate and to be struck by terror was unworthy of a brave Englishman. Chambers, the critics suspected, was too quick to

84 Mungello, *Curious Land*, 13-14.

85 Ibid.

86 See, however, Leask, "Kubla Khan and Orientalism."

koutou to royal power, English as well as Chinese.⁸⁷

This was a line of criticism developed by the British diplomats in their accounts. Making few distinctions between real Chinese art and cheap chinoiserie, the imperial palace was given the same treatment which rococo aesthetics was given by all Englishmen of Neoclassical tastes. This was art for children and fops, people easily taken in by gaudy displays. But to slavishly follow Chinese fashions was unacceptable also on political grounds. The British should stand up for their own achievements, and this was never more important than in relation to the obscure forces of the sublime. The diplomats insisted that Britain be treated as equals with China and, breaking with the etiquette of the Chinese court, they refused to *koutou* before the imperial throne. What they wanted were trade concessions, but the emperor which the poets had described — with “flashing eyes” and “floating hair”— was clearly not the kind of ruler with whom you conclude commercial agreements. In the end, although the British never got their treaty, the pretensions of the Chinese were exposed. The palace, they discovered, was nothing but a brightly painted barn. In the best tradition of British empiricism, the diplomats pointed out that the emperor was naked.⁸⁸

The idea of the picturesque had far more benign implications. In the picturesque landscape, every plant, brook and grove was free to take on its own preferred form, yet the whole was always appropriately framed and maintained in perfect balance. Indeed, according to the picturesque aesthetics, balance *requires* the coexistence of highly diverse and irregular entities: a large tree needs a large rock and only a meandering stream can hold both together. There is a perfect analogy here to the market mechanism advocated by Adam Smith where balance

87 On the traditional connection between English monarchists and apologias for imperial China, see Markley, *Far East and English Imagination*, 76.

88 In these respects the British diplomats reacted much as the little boy in H.C. Andersen's story of "The Emperor's New Clothes." That Andersen had read accounts of the Yuanmingyuan is evident from his story "The Nightingale" which is set in the imperial garden. There is, however, no direct evidence that the naked emperor was modeled on the Chinese. See Andersen, "The Nightingale"; cf. Oxfeldt, "China as a Source of Political Satire."

was achieved through the free interaction of radically diverse interests. In both cases, what looked perfectly natural was actually a product of art. The “hidden hand” of the authorities that regulated the market corresponded to the hidden hand of the master gardener who pruned his plants and prodded his trees.⁸⁹ Not surprisingly, the British aristocrats who made up the Macartney mission of 1793 were both landowners and government officials.

destroying a wonder

On the morning of October 7, 1860, French and British troops made their way into the Yuanmingyuan. Despite orders from the commanders, the compound was looted by the French, while the English, quick to spot a business opportunity, put the remaining articles up for sale. On October 18, the buildings and what was left of their content were burned to the ground by British troops. There is, we said, a political and military context to this vandalism: the lack of discipline in the French army, miscommunication between the allied commanders, and, in the case of the final incineration, a British desire to take revenge for the brutal treatment which a group of hostages had received at the hands of the Chinese.⁹⁰ Yet when it comes to objects which hitherto have featured mainly in dreams, a political and military context is not sufficient. We also need to understand the status which the imperial palace had in the minds of the people responsible for the destruction. These reactions can be divided into three groups: those of the British officers, the French officers, and ordinary soldiers. Depending on how they reacted, they justified their actions quite differently.

Like their countrymen before them, the British commanders made a sharp distinction between the gardens of the Yuanmingyuan, on the one hand, and the buildings and their content, on the other. The gardens were picturesque, but the

89 The garden is of course a common metaphor for the state. See Daniels, “The Political Iconography of Woodland,” 45-46.

90 Stanmore, *Sidney Herbert*, II: 349-355; Knollys, “Remarks on the French March,” 214-225.

buildings were unimpressive and the art collections expensive but excessively decorative. In a letter home to his wife, the British commander, James Bruce, Eighth Earl of Elgin, described the "numberless buildings with handsome rooms, and filled with Chinese *curios*, and handsome clocks, bronzes, etc."⁹¹ Wolseley mentioned "a mine of wealth and of everything curious," which reminded him of the antique shops of Wardour Street in London.⁹² But it was only in the gardens that the British commanders felt truly at home. They reminded them of Richmond, of Kew, of Stowe; "it is really a fine thing," Elgin reported to his wife, "like an English park." Overall, however, the palace was nothing like they had expected. "Taking Yuen-ming-yuen all in all," said Wolseley, "it was a gem of its kind, and yet I do not suppose there was a single man who visited it without being disappointed."⁹³ There was "an absence of grandeur" about the place "for which no amount of careful gardening and pretty ornaments can compensate." "Everything upon which the eye could rest was pretty and well designed, ... but there was nothing imposing in the *tout ensemble*."

Both in landscape gardening and building, the Chinaman loses sight of grand or imposing effects, in his endeavours to load everything with ornament; he forgets the fine in his search after the curious. In their thirst after decoration, and in their inherent love for minute embellishment, the artists and architects of China have failed to produce any great work capable of inspiring those sensations of awe or admiration which strike every one when first gazing upon the magnificent creations of European architects.⁹⁴

In the rest of the world emperors and kings built imposing structures in order to impress their subjects, yet the Yuanmingyuan was smaller, not larger, than life. When given a choice, Chinese architects opted for the miniature. As a result, said Wolseley, the palace "resembles more the design of a child in front of her doll's house than the work of grown-up men."⁹⁵ The reaction of the British commander,

91 Elgin, *Extracts from the Letters of Lord Elgin*, 220.

92 Wolseley, *Narrative of the War with China*, 224.

93 *Ibid.*, 237.

94 *Ibid.*, 233.

95 *Ibid.*, 233-235.

Lord Elgin, is particularly interesting. Elgin was a Conservative, skeptical of money-grubbing imperialism, and, moreover, he was a Coleridgean. At Oxford, according to his brother, "his intellect was attracted to high and abstract speculation"; he read Plato, Milton, and Coleridge, the philosophy of the latter "he had thoroughly mastered."⁹⁶ Yet when confronting the Yuanmingyuan he did not recognize it as the palace which Coleridge had described. He did not "close his eyes in holy dread" and he drank no "milk of paradise." Instead he burned the place down.

The French commanders made no similar distinctions, and their praise for the rococo aesthetics of the imperial collections came with few reservations. "Nothing in our Europe," wrote General Montauban, "can give us an idea of such luxury."⁹⁷ Yet Montauban does not go into much detail, and Baron Gros, the leading French diplomat, gave no description at all of the palace in his report to the government.⁹⁸ Clearly, too much detail and too much praise would have created problems of presentation. After all, it was French troops who carried out most of the looting. Officially, however, the French commanders denied any involvement and blamed instead the ragtag band of Chinese marauder who accompanied the European armies.⁹⁹ It was only once *their* loot was confiscated by French troops that it ended up in the French camp. When it came to the burning of the palace, the French commanders refused all participation. We are constantly talking to the Chinese about "our civilization" and "Christian charity," said Baron Gros, and to destroy the palace would be a hypocritical act of barbarism.¹⁰⁰

As for the ordinary soldiers — British as well as French — once they walked through the gates of the Yuanmingyuan they seem to have entered a dream.¹⁰¹ This was a magical kingdom full of all the treasures, enchantments, and sensuality

96 Walrond, *Letters and Journals of Lord Elgin*, 3, 8.

97 Montauban quoted in Cordier, *L'Expédition de Chine*, 354.

98 Montauban, *Souvenirs*, 310.

99 Gros, *Négociations entre la France et la Chine*, 125, 133.

100 *Ibid.*, 149.

101 This is the theme of Ringmar, "Liberal Barbarism and the Oriental Sublime."

which, by the middle of the nineteenth-century, constituted the required props of tales of the exotic East. Less worried than their commanders about being held accountable, they owned up to their actions, and appealed instead to the fantasies of the reading public. "I was dumbfounded, stunned, bewildered by what I had seen," wrote one, "suddenly *Thousand and One Nights* seem perfectly believable to me"; everything was "féérique" — "like a fairytale."¹⁰² "I felt like Aladdin," wrote another, "filled with wonder in his enchanted palace, paved with gold and diamonds."¹⁰³ In order to describe it, I would need to "dissolve all known precious stones in liquid gold and paint a picture with a diamond feather whose bristles contain all the fantasies of a poet of the East."¹⁰⁴ A Corsican adventurer, Jean-Louis de Negroni, even claimed to have rescued the emperor's favorite courtesan from the marauding troops, and she, gratefully, had given him both a kiss and a box of jewels.¹⁰⁵ Clearly, these are not descriptions of the Yuanmingyuan as much as summaries of mid-nineteenth-century works of cheap, Orientalist, fiction.

The final destruction too took place in a sort of delirium. The soldiers, including many officers, ran from room to room, "decked out in the most ridiculous-looking costumes they could find," looking for loot.¹⁰⁶ "Officers and men seemed to have been seized with a temporary insanity"; "a furious thirst has taken hold of us"; it was an "orgiastic rampage of looting"; "the dream of a hashish eater."¹⁰⁷ It was as though the war once prophesied by the "ancestral voices" in Coleridge's poem finally had arrived, and the Europeans were the demons carrying it out. Ruled by the orgiastic and the Dionysian, they represented the unstoppable life-force which simultaneously both creates and destroys. The Europeans promised a

102 Lucy, *Lettres intimes*, 95; d'Hérison, *Journal d'un interprète en Chine*, 318; See Wolseley, *Narrative of the War with China*, 280.

103 Negroni, *Souvenirs de campagne en Chine*, 51.

104 d'Hérison, *Journal d'un interprète en Chine*, 306.

105 Negroni, *Souvenirs de campagne en Chine*, 45-50.

106 Wolseley, *Narrative of the War with China*, 226-227.

107 Wolseley, *Narrative of the War with China*, 226-227; Lucy, *Lettres intimes*, 226-227.

new beginning for China — a bright future of “progress” and “free trade” — but first the old world had to be laid in ruins. Only through acts of barbarism could civilization be spread.

Yet this too was a conceit. Through their encounter with the Oriental other, the European view of themselves had been transformed. The relationship of power had shifted. China was the last major non-European country to openly defy their supremacy, and now its pretensions too were crushed. “The destruction of the emperor's palace,” said Wolseley, “was the strongest proof of our superior strength; it served to undeceive all Chinamen in their absurd conviction of their monarch's universal sovereignty.”¹⁰⁸ With this victory, the Europeans had finally emerged as the uncontested rulers of the world. The marvels of the palace, and the orgy of destruction in which it disappeared, served to glorify their victory. The *frisson* of wonder was no longer caused by an unknown, Oriental, other, but by a new, previously unknown, self. If the palace of the emperor had been less magical, and its destruction more matter-of-fact, their new-found powers would not have been half as marvelous. It was only by first defining, and then defeating, the wonders of the East that the Europeans could come to take their place.

108 Wolseley, *Narrative of the War with China*, 281.

bibliography

- Andersen, Hans Christian. "The Nightingale." In *Fairy Tales and Stories*, 1-14. New York: Century, 1900.
- Anderson, Æneas. *A Narrative of the British Embassy to China, in the Years 1792, 1793, and 1794; Containing the Various Circumstances of the Embassy, with Accounts of Customs and Manners of the Chinese*. London: J. Debrett, 1795.
- Attiret, Jean Denis. "Lettre du frère Attiret, de la Compagnie de Jésus, peintre au service de l'Empereur de la Chine, à M. d'Assaut." In *Lettres édifiantes et curieuses, écrites des missions étrangères*, edited by Charles le Gobien, 387-412. Paris: J. Vernarel, 1819.
- Bald, R. C. "Sir William Chambers and the Chinese Garden." *Journal of the History of Ideas* 11, no. 3 (June 1950): 287-320.
- Barrow, John. "Embassy to China." *Quarterly Review* 17, no. 34 (July 1817): 463-506.
- . *Travels in China, Containing Descriptions, Observations, and Comparisons, Made and Collected in the course of a short Residence at the Imperial Palace of Yuen-Min-Yuen, and on a subsequent Journey through the Country, from Peking to Canton*. London: T. Cadell & W. Davies, 1804.
- Benedict, Barbara M. *Curiosity: A Cultural History of Early Modern Inquiry*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2002.
- Braga, J.M. "A Seller of 'Sing-Songs': A Chapter in the Foreign Trade of China and Macao." *Journal of Oriental Studies* 6 (1961): 61-108.
- Burke, Edmund, ed. "Anecdotes of the Late Sir William Chambers, from the European Magazine." *The Annual Register, or a View of the History, Politicks and Literature of the Year* (1796): 364-366.
- Burke, Edmund. *A Philosophical Enquiry into the Origin of Our Ideas of the Sublime and the Beautiful*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1757.
- Bynum, Caroline Walker. "Wonder." *The American Historical Review* 102, no. 1 (February 1997): xx-26.
- ???. *A Dissertation on Oriental Gardening*. London, 1772.
- . "Of the Art of Laying Out Gardens among the Chinese." In *Miscellaneous Pieces Relating to the Chinese*, edited by Thomas Percy. London: R. & J. Dodsley, 1762.
- . *Plans, Elevations, Sections, and Perspective Views of the Gardens and Buildings at Kew in Surry the Seat of Her Royal Highness The Princess Dowager of Wales*. London: John Haberkorn for the author, 1763.
- Coleridge, Samuel Taylor. "Kubla Khan." In *The Poems of Samuel Taylor Coleridge*, 306-309. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1907.
- Combe, William. *The Tour of Doctor Syntax in Search of the Picturesque*. London: T. Noble, 1848.
- Cordier, Henri, ed. *L'Expédition de Chine de 1860, histoire diplomatique, notes et documents*. Paris: F. Alcan, 1906.
- Cranmer-Byng, John L., and Trevor H. Levere. "A Case Study in Cultural Collision: Scientific Apparatus in the Macartney Embassy to China, 1793." *Annals of Science* 38, no. 5 (1981): 503-525.
- Daniels, Stephen. "The Political Iconography of Woodland in Later Georgian England." In *The Iconography of Landscape: Essays on the Symbolic Representation, Design and Use of Past Environments*, edited by Denis Cosgrove and Stephen Daniels,

- 43-82. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- Darwin, Charles. *The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals, Anniversary Edition*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Daston, Lorraine, and Katharine Park. *Wonders and the Order of Nature, 1150-1750*. New York: Zone Books, 2001.
- Davis, John Francis. *The Chinese: A General Description of the Empire of China and Its Inhabitants*. London: Charles Knight & Co, 1836.
- D'Escayrac de Lauture, Stanislas. "Récit de la captivité de M. le comte d'Escayrac de Lauture par les Chinois, fait par lui-même." In *Nouvelles annales des voyages, de la géographie et de l'histoire, tome 2*. Vol. 182. 6. Paris: Gide, 1864.
- d'Hérisson, Maurice. *Journal d'un interprète en Chine*. Paris: Paul Ollendorf, 1886.
- Elgin, James Bruce, Earl of. *Extracts from the Letters of James, Earl of Elgin to Mary Louisa, Countess of Elgin, 1847-1862*. Edinburgh: Privately printed, 1864.
- Friar Odoric. "The Friar Reacheth Cambalech, and Discourseth Thereof, and of the Great Caan's Palace There." In *Cathay and the Way Thither: Being a Collection of Medieval Notices of China, Volume 1*, edited by Henry Yule, 127-135. London: The Hakluyt Society, 1866.
- Gilpin, William. *The Wye Tour, or Gilpin on the Wye: With Historical and Archaeological Additions*. London: W, Farror, 1822.
- Greenblatt, Stephen. *Marvelous Possessions: The Wonder of the New World*. Chicago: University Of Chicago Press, 1991.
- . "Resonance and Wonder." In *Exhibiting Cultures: The Poetics and Politics of Museum Display*, edited by Ivan Karp and Steven D. Lavine. Washington: Smithsonian, 1991.
- Gros, Jean-Baptiste-Louis. *Négociations entre la France et la Chine, en 1860. Livre jaune du baron Gros, ambassadeur extraordinaire et haut commissaire de l'empereur, en Chine, en 1858 et en 1860. Extrait de sa correspondance*. Paris: J. Dumaine, 1864.
- Harris, John. "Chambers, Sir William." Online. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004. <http://www.oxforddnb.com/view/article/5083?docPos=2>.
- Holmes, Richard. *Coleridge: Early Visions, 1772-1804*. New York: Pantheon, 1999.
- Hussey, Christopher. *The Picturesque: Studies in a Point of View*. Frank Cass & Co., 1967.
- Jacques, David. "On the Supposed Chineseness of the English Landscape Garden." *Garden History* 18, no. 2 (Autumn 1990): 180-191.
- Kliger, Samuel. "Whig Aesthetics: A Phase of Eighteenth-Century Taste." *ELH* 16, no. 2 (June 1949): 135-150.
- Knollys, Henry. "Remarks on the French March to Yuan-Min-Yuan, and on the Pillage and Destruction of the Palace. Results Attained by the War." In *Incidents in the China War of 1860: Compiled from the Private Journals of General Sir Hope Grant*, edited by Henry Knollys, 214-225. Edinburgh: W. Blackwood & Sons, 1875.
- Leask, Nigel. "Kubla Khan and Orientalism." *Romanticism* 4, no. 1 (1998): 1-22.
- Liu, Yu. *Seeds of a Different Eden: Chinese Gardening Ideas and a New English Aesthetic Ideal*. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 2008.
- Loch, Henry Brougham. *Personal Narrative of Occurrences During Lord Elgin's Second Embassy to China, 1860*. London: John Murray, 1869.
- Lovejoy, Arthur O. "The Chinese Origin of a Romanticism, [1933]." In *Essays in the*

- History of Ideas*, 99-135. New York: George Braziller, 1955.
- . "The First Gothic Revival and the Return to Nature, [1932]." In *Essays in the History of Ideas*, 136-165. New York: George Braziller, 1955.
- Lowes, John Livingston. *The Road To Xanadu: A Study In The Ways Of the Imagination*, [1927]. London: Constable, 1927.
- Lucy, Armand. *Lettres intimes sur la campagne de Chine*. Marseille: Jules Barile, 1861.
- Malone, Carroll Brown. *History of the Peking Summer Palace under the Ch'ing Dynasty*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 1934.
- Markley, Robert. *The Far East and the English Imagination, 1600-1730*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- Mason, William. *An Heroic Epistle to Sir William Chambers*. London: J. Almon, 1773.
- Mill, James. "Review of M. de Guignes, Voyages à Peking, Manille, et l'Ile de France, faits dans l'intervalle des années 1784 à 1801." *The Edinburgh Review* 14 (July 1809): 407-429.
- Montauban, Cousin de. *Souvenirs*. Paris: Plon, 1932.
- Mungello, David E. *Curious Land: Jesuit Accommodation and the Origins of Sinology*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press, 1989.
- Negrone, Jean-Louis de. *Souvenirs de campagne en Chine*. Paris: Renou et Maulde, 1864.
- Nicolson, Marjorie Hope. *Mountain Gloom and Mountain Glory: The Development of the Aesthetics of the Infinite*, [1959]. Seattle: University of Washington Press, 1997.
- Oxfeldt, Elisabeth. "China as a Source of Political Satire in Andersen's 'Nattergalen'." In *Nordic Orientalism*. Copenhagen: Museum Tusulanum Press, 2005.
- Polo, Marco. *The Book of Ser Marco Polo, the Venetian: Concerning the Kingdoms and Marvels of the East, Volumes 1-2*. Edited by Henry Yule. London: John Murray, 1871.
- Porter, David L. "A Peculiar but Uninteresting Nation: China and the Discourse of Commerce in Eighteenth-Century England." *Eighteenth-Century Studies* 33, no. 2 (Winter 2000): 181-199.
- . "Beyond the Bounds of Truth: Cultural Translation and William Chambers's Chinese Garden." *Mosaic* 37 (2004).
- . *Ideographia: The Chinese Cipher in Early Modern Europe*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2002.
- Purchas, Samuel. *Purchas His Pilgrimage: Or Relations of the World and the Religions Observed in All Ages and Places Discovered ...* London: Henrie Fetherstone, 1614.
- Ringmar, Erik. "Liberal Barbarism and the Oriental Sublime: The European Destruction of the Emperor's Summer Palace." *Millennium* 34, no. 3 (2006): 917-933.
- Rockhill, William W. *Diplomatic Audiences at the Court of China*. London: Luzac & Co., 1905.
- Ruysbroek, Willem van. *The Journey of William of Rubruck to the Eastern Parts of the World, 1253-55*. London: Hakluyt Society, 1900.
<http://www.archive.org/details/journeywilliamr00ruysgoog>.
- Schaffer, Simon. "Instruments as Cargo in the China Trade." *History of Science* 44, no. 2 (2006): 217-246.
- Sirén, Osvald. *China and the Gardens of Europe in the Eighteenth Century*. New York: Ronald Press, 1950.

- Stanmore, Arthur H. *Sidney Herbert, Lord Herbert of Lea: A Memoir*. London: Murray, 1906.
- Thomas, Greg M. "Yuanming Yuan/Versailles: Intercultural Interactions Between Chinese and European Palace Cultures." *Art History* 32, no. 1 (2009): 115-143.
- Todorov, Tzvetan. *La conquête de l'Amérique*. Paris: Seuil, 1991.
- Walrond, Theodore. *Letters and Journals of James, Eighth Earl of Elgin*. London: John Murray, 1872.
- Wolseley, Garnet. *Narrative of the War with China in 1860; to Which Is Added the Account of a Short Residence with the Tai-Ping Rebels at Nankin and a Voyage from Thence to Hankow*. London: Longman, Green, Longman, and Roberts, 1862.
- Wong, Young-tsu. "European Buildings with Chinese Characteristics," January 19, 2008. <http://www.cityu.edu.hk/ccs/Newsletter/newsletter10/chapter04.html>.