

1. Mr. Wetherbee weighs 180.00 lb: (a) How heavy will he be if his weight increases by 1.20 lb? \_\_\_\_\_  
 b. How heavy will he be if his weight increases *by a factor of* 1.20? \_\_\_\_\_ (See RS I.)  
 c. How heavy will he be if his weight increases by 1.20 *percent*? \_\_\_\_\_  
 d. "Increasing something by 1.20%" means multiplying it by \_\_\_\_\_, as in 1-3 on page 10R.  
 e. "Decreasing something by 2%" means *dividing* it by \_\_\_\_\_ or *multiplying* it by \_\_\_\_\_.
2. Suppose  $y$  is directly proportional to  $x$ . Then  $x$  and  $y$  must both be \_\_\_\_\_ (*variables, constants*) and changing the value of  $x$  must cause  $y$  to change, as described in 7f on RS I. (That's a clue!)  
 a. How must the percentage change in  $y$  compare with the percentage change in  $x$ ? \_\_\_\_\_ (one word)  
 b. If the radius of a circle is decreased by two percent, its circumference must \_\_\_\_\_crease by \_\_\_\_\_%
3. There must be an equation which describes how a circle's area depends on its diameter. That equation must contain a symbol representing the diameter, a symbol representing the area, and a constant.  
 a. Is it possible for that constant to have units? \_\_\_\_\_ (If so, consider the consequences.)  
 b. If the diameter is measured in meters, then the area must be in \_\_\_\_\_ meters.  
 c. The symbol representing diameter must have an exponent. The units in the equation cannot balance unless that exponent is \_\_\_\_\_. Therefore the area must be proportional to \_\_\_\_\_.  
 d. Sketch the graph of **area vs diameter** which was described above in 3c. -Is it linear? \_\_\_\_\_  
 -Does it go through the origin? \_\_\_\_\_ -Do those answers contradict 3c? \_\_\_\_\_  
 e. If the diameter of a circle is increased by 1% then its area increases by \_\_\_\_\_. (Use #3 on page 20.)
4. The "**frequency**" of a repeating motion is the **reciprocal of its period**. (Recorded on RS \_\_\_\_\_)  
 a. If a pendulum's *period* is 0.50 seconds per swing then its *frequency* is 2.0 \_\_\_\_\_s per \_\_\_\_\_.  
 b. If the period in 4a is increased by 0.7%, then the new period is \_\_\_\_\_.  
 c. The new **frequency** in 4b is \_\_\_\_\_. (Please do *not* round off yet.)  
 d. To find the "change" in frequency, we subtract: ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) - ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) = \_\_\_\_\_  
 e. That change can be converted into percentage language:  $100( \text{_____} ) \div ( \text{_____} ) = \text{_____}\%$   
 (Please keep **no more than one** bogus digit here.)  
 f. Did the frequency increase, or did it decrease? \_\_\_\_\_ --Do we call that a positive change, or is it a negative change? \_\_\_\_\_ -Do 4d and 4e agree with this answer? \_\_\_\_\_
5. In #4 we saw that whenever the denominator (bottom part) of a fraction is *increased* by some small percentage, the value of the fraction is \_\_\_\_\_creased by \_\_\_\_\_ percentage.  
 a. For example, increasing the period of a pendulum by 0.7% caused its frequency to \_\_\_\_\_crease by \_\_\_\_%.  
 b. Increasing the denominator of a fraction by 2% will \_\_\_\_\_crease the value of the fraction by \_\_\_\_%.  
 c. For example, if the original fraction is  $\frac{65}{200}$  and we increase the denominator by 2%, then the new fraction will be  $\frac{65}{\text{_____}}$ . Use page 10R. Do not round off before the last step in 5e.  
 d. In decimal form, the original fraction in 5c was **0.325** and the new fraction is \_\_\_\_\_.  
 e. The fractional change in this example is  $[( \text{_____} ) - 0.325] \div (0.325) = \text{_____} = \text{_____}\%$   
 f. The \_\_\_\_\_tive sign in the answer to 5e shows that the fraction \_\_\_\_\_creased. Did that happen in #4 too?
6. Increasing the *numerator* (top part) of a fraction by X% always causes the value of the fraction to \_\_\_\_\_crease by \_\_\_\_%. For example, if the original fraction is  $\frac{200}{537}$  and we increase the numerator by 3%, then the new fraction will be ( \_\_\_\_\_ )/537. **Show** how the percentage change is calculated. Don't round off until the last step!
7. Suppose "A" is increased by  $x\%$  and "B" is increased by  $y\%$ . According to #5 & #6, the quotient  $A/B$  will increase by approximately \_\_\_\_\_% **if** both  $x$  and  $y$  are \_\_\_\_\_. (large, small, rational, irrational)  
 -If A is increased by  $x\%$  and B is **decreased** by  $y\%$  then  $A/B$  will \_\_\_\_\_crease by \_\_\_\_%.
8. Suppose "A" has a small relative uncertainty of 2% and "B" has a small relative uncertainty of 3%.  
 a. The MLV of their quotient will be  $A/B$ . The GLV of their quotient will be roughly \_\_\_\_\_% greater.  
 b. The SLV of their quotient will be roughly \_\_\_\_\_% *smaller* than the MLV.  
 \* c. Show how the results from 8a and 8b can be used to estimate the uncertainty of the quotient.  
 d. Apparently the percentage uncertainty of their quotient can be estimated by \_\_\_\_\_ing the percentage uncertainties of A and B. This important discovery is being saved for future use in # \_\_\_\_\_ on RS II.

1. In #18 on RS II you recorded the relation between a simple pendulum's period and its length.
  - a. Describe that relation in *proportion* language: **"P is proportional to \_\_\_\_\_"**
  - b. Using 17d on RS II, translate 1a into *ratio* language:  $P_2/P_1 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$
  - c. Suppose we increase the length of a pendulum by 2.0%. Using #24 on RS II, the numerical value of the length ratio is  $L_2/L_1 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ . (A ratio is a *quotient*. Write *one* number in the blank.)
  - d. Plug that numerical value into equation 1b and calculate the period ratio:  $P_2/P_1 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} =$
  - e. Translate that result back into percentage language, rounding off properly:  
**"If a pendulum's length is increased by 2.0% then its period   creases by about   %."**
- \* 2. How is a sphere's volume affected if its radius is increased by 1.0 %? The volume *units* are a clue, as explained in 4b on p. 19. The final sentence of your explanation must be similar (in form) to 1e.
3. Let's look for a pattern in our recent discoveries:
  - a. In #2 on page 21 we found that a two-percent decrease in diameter causes a   -percent   crease in the circumference of a circle because circumference is proportional to the    power of   .
  - b. In #3 on page 21 we found that a one-percent increase in the diameter of a circle caused its area to increase by   % because area is proportional to the    power of diameter.
  - c. In #4 on page 21 a 0.7-percent increase in period caused a   -percent   crease in frequency because frequency is equal to period to the    power.
  - d. In #2 on this page the percentage increase in volume was    times the percentage increase in radius because volume is proportional to the    power of radius. *That's a clue for #7.*
  - e. In #1 the exponent was   . The percent change in period was    times the         .
  - f. Do the units balance in 3e?    If not, why haven't you corrected your mistake?
4. Conclusion: **If A is proportional to B<sup>n</sup> then the percentage change in A is always approximately    times the percentage change in B.** (Recorded in part    of #   on RSII.)  
 -Do all of the examples in #3 illustrate this rule?    If not, please explain.
5. Is the general rule in #4 exact when n is not equal to 1?    -Is it only an approximation?     
 -The rule work best when the percentages are    (large or small?)
6. According to #20 on RS II, the volume of a gas sample is proportional to the    power of its pressure if the gas temperature is kept constant. If we increase the pressure of such a gas sample by 2% then its volume must   crease by   %. Does this contradict #4?
7. On p. 19 we found that the weight of a bowling ball is proportional to the    power of its diameter. Does #2 agree?    -Does 3d agree also?    Suppose a new bowling ball has a diameter just 0.5% greater than the old one: According to #4, the new ball will be   % heavier than the old one.
8. In #6 on RS II you recorded a relation between free-falling distance and falling time:  
**"D is proportional to    if the initial speed is zero."**
  - a. To increase the falling distance by 4%, you must   crease the falling time by about   %
  - b. If the falling distance uncertainty is 4% then the time uncertainty must be about   %.
  - c. If you decrease the falling **time** by 4%, you will   crease the falling **distance** by about   %.
9. The strength of a rope is proportional to the number of fibers in it.  
 Imagine a set of ropes with different thicknesses, all made with the same kind of fibers.
  - a. How must the rope strength depend on its cross-sectional area?    (Use proportion language.)
  - b. According to 9a and 3b, strength must be proportional to the    power of thickness.
  - c. To make such a rope 10% stronger you must make it roughly   % thicker. Does #4 agree?
10. Bonus Question: The neat trick that you learned in #4 works best when the percentage changes are small. Is there any limit to the accuracy that can be achieved with this new relation if the changes are super-small?    If so, describe the limitation clearly by calculating the smallest error possible.